

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMINGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,092	06/25/2001	Yasushi Kaneko	971480A	8588	
23850	7590 10/07/2002				
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER		
1725 K STRE	,	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2871			

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/887,092

Dung Nguyen

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Kaneko et al.

2871

I			

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	·	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 17, 20</u>	02			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This acti	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19-24</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) Light The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6					
~					

Application/Control Number: 09/887,092

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 004/01/2002 has been received and entered.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al., US Patent No. 5,900,852, in view of Okada et al., US Patent No. 5,532,713

Regarding claims 19-24, Tanaka et al. disclose a method of driving a liquid crystal shutter comprising the step of setting a scan term for driving pixel electrodes, such that the scan term is shorter than holding time (see figure 2). However, Tanaka et al. do not disclose a reset term during which all the pixel electrodes closed by applying voltage to the pixel electrodes before the scan term. Okada et al. do disclose a reset term (e.g., clear pulse) can be set before a scan term (e.g., selection pulse) (see figure 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to set a reset term during which all the pixel electrodes

Application/Control Number: 09/887,092

Art Unit: 2871

closed by applying voltage to the pixel electrodes before the scan term as shown by Okada et al. in order to reset the display states of all pixels (see col. 8, lines 5-8).

It should be noted, regarding the above claims, it has been held that to be entitled to weight in method claims, the recited structure limitations therein must affect the method in a manipulative sense, and not to amount to the mere claiming of a use of a particular structure. Ex parte Pfeiffer, 1962 CD 408 (1961).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hartmann et al. (US 5,047,758) disclose a method of driving a liquid crystal display device having a blanking pulse (i.e., reset term) (see figures 6-9).

Inaba et al. (US 5,521,727) disclose a method of driving a liquid crystal display device in which a reset pulse is set prior to a writing pulse (i.e, scan term).

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/887,092

Art Unit: 2871

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The

fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN

09/30/2002

William I. Sikes

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Milleau I. Sales

Group 2871